Revenue (192 /) Department.

Miscellaneous SERIES.

G.O. No. 2633

DATED 1-12-21.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council — October 1921 —
Question no "H" — acquisition of
bungalow for the Divisional Officer,
Puttur — Recorded.

Council Office.

Question. 17th September 1[illegible]

Hon Rahit U. Rama Rao.

THE REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

227. To ask the Revenue Minister

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government has made a decision in the [illegible] to erect a large compound in the enjoyment of a leading Vakil of Puttur, South Kanara for the use as quarter for the Revenue Divisional officer is to be acquired under the Land Acquisition Act ~~in the teeth of the opposition for the acquisition.~~

(b) whether the Government is aware of the proceedings of the public meeting at Puttur, South Kanara, protesting the ~~episodic~~ disapproval of the policy of the Government in depriving this residence of a leading Vakil by resorting to compulsory acquisition in order to give that house to the Revenue Divisional Officer;

(c) whether it is a fact that the people of Puttur, South Kanara, have challenged upon enquiry in the matter of selection of suitable sites or land for the present purpose, and are also prepared to point out other sites as ~~[illegible]~~

(d) whether the Government have taken any, and, if so, what steps to allay the excitement and ~~keen~~ resentment of the public of Puttur as indicated in the proceedings of the public meeting;

(e) whether the Government are prepared to make good any loss of professional earnings of the Vakil-occupant of the bungalow proposed to be acquired, if the matter advances to that stage as laid down in the Land Acquisition Act (vide

(f) to place on the table the ~~ordi~~ nave of the claiments if any, put in after the Government notification in the Gazette;

(g) whether the Government will be required to spend any ~~amount of~~ money in repairing the buildings in question or making improvements to it in addition to payment of acquisition money;

(h) whether the Executive ~~Engineer~~ has inspected the building in question and condemned the same; and

(i) whether, in view of the public feeling in the question, the Government will be pleased to drop the acquisition of the Vakil's house and select some other site.

Recd in F. C Section 5.10.21

Copied by D. K 5-10-21

Examd by 5/10

Despatched by MM/5/10.

Question No. 897

(a), (b) & (c) & (b)

(d) The Govt. are not convinced ~~that~~ this is necessary.

(d) ~~The answer is in the negative~~

(e) The Govt {have no / ~~will call for~~} information in the manner referred to.

(f) ~~The answer is in the negative~~ The Engineer {who / ~~not~~} inspected the buildings did not ~~have no~~ Co-

MS
3.10.21

3/10/21

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Rao Sahib U. Rama Rao.

u/e s t i o n No.897.

To ask the Hon'ble the Member for Revenue.

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government has made a declaration in the local official Gazette that a bungalow with a large compound in the enjoyment of a leading vakil of Puttur, South Kanara is to be acquired under the Land Acquisition Act for quarters for the Revenue Divisional officer.

(b) whether the Government are aware of the proceedings of a public meeting at Puttur, South Kanara, regarding its disapproval of the policy of the Government in depriving a leading vakil of his residence by resorting to compulsory acquisition in order to give that house to the Revenue Divisional Officer

(c) whether it is a fact that the public of Puttur, South Kanara, have challenged an open enquiry in the matter of selection of suitable sites or houses for the present purpose, and are also prepared to point out other sites or houses;

(d) whether the Government have taken any, and, if so, what steps to allay the excitement and resentment of the public of Puttur as indicated in the proceedings of the public meeting;

(e) to place on the table the estimate of the claimants if any, put in after the Government notification in the Gazette;

(f) whether the Government will be required to spend any money in repairing the buildings in question or making improvements to it in addtion to payment of acquisition money;

(g) whether the Executive Engineer has inspected the building in question and condemned the same;

Answer, No.397

(a), (b) and (c) and (f) .. Yes.

(d) The Government are not convinced that this is necessary.

(e) The Government have no information as to the estimate referred to.

(g) The Executive Engineer who inspected the building did not condemn it.

E. W. [illegible]gh.

Secretary to Government

Fort St.George.
Dated 5th October 1921.

To the Legislative Council Office

The above answer has been approved by the Hon'ble Khan Bahadur Muhammad Habib-ul-lah Sahib Bahadur, C.I.E.,

Forwarded to the Legislative Council Office,

(By Order)

S. Raghavaiyangar 5-10-21

SUPERINTENDENT.

D.K.5/10.

5/10

REVENUE D
5364
Regd. 27.9.21

P.P.M
27.9.21

1000—22-7-21.

QUESTION.—No. 897 for the meeting of the Legislative Council to be held ~~on the~~ during the second session

SUBMITTED that two copies of this

Revenue Department.

The Question has been admitted.

L. D. S.
27-9-21

U.O. No. Lb17-3 dated 27-9-21

To

The Department.

Revenue Deptt

To ..ver seey

Please c.. the sub-tion on page 1-2 cf.

2. clauses (a), (b) & (c)

The acquisition of the "Depu Collector's bungalow" in the occupation of Mr. B. Venkatakrishna Rao, a vakil of Pultur was ordered to be notified in the Fort St. George gazette in para 4 of Gl.No. 972 W dy 14.7.21. The notification has been published in the South Kanara district gaze and the notification appears on p. 227 of the S. Kanara dt gazette dy. 24.8.1921

In August ... telegram was received from the chairman of a public meeting held in Puttur to protest against the requisition of the bungalow by Govt in which they asked for an open enquiry in regard to availability of other suitable sites for the purpose in view. The question was carefully considered by Govt and they saw no sufficient reason to reconsider the decision ... arrived at in G.O. No. 972 W dt 14.7.21.

The answer to these clauses may be said to be ~~xxx the xxx~~ in the affirmative.

Clause (d) whether Govt propose to cancel the acquisition proceedings

A similar question was asked by the same M.L.C ~~in~~ the last September meeting and it was proposed to answer that "the Govt see no reason to reconsider their decision regarding the acquisition of the building" The answer ~~to xxx~~ clause (d) ~~of~~ the question ~~in~~ pp 1-2 ~~of~~ may be as below :—

Clause

In connection with a resolution received on the same subject which has since been disallowed, the Collector was asked to report in what stage the proceedings were. There is no information ~~in the office as to whether any~~ in the office about the details of the acquisition proceedings after the publication of the Govt notification. For orders whether the Collector may be asked to report on this

The answer may be "The Govt will call for the information" or "The Govt have no information"

5 clause (f). Attention is invited to ~~the~~ para 2 of ~~Board's~~ 4
of the sub-divisional officer's letter, Revenue Divl officer's ~~no~~ ~~dt~~ para 3 of Board's
reference ~~on~~ pp 2, 19, 39, 44 ~~of~~ ~~no~~ 972 W
of 10.7.21. in which it is stated that
the building is in ~~good~~ condition
Endt No. 5258 (H) In Qlr No. 1370 W. dt 20.8.21 the Govt
26.8.21 have approved an estimate of Rs 1050/
~~sanctioned~~ rio to the building. The answer
to this art of the question may be
in the affirmative.

6 clause (g). The Executive
Engineer report on pp 33-35 of Ell.
~~no~~ W dt 10.7.21 does not contain
any condemnation of the building
But the Superintending engineer who
forwarded the report condemned it
on the ground that the building was
not worth purchasing. (pp 31-32 of Ell
bid). It is for order whether the
answer may be in the negative.

A consolidated answer to all the
clauses is placed below for approval

Special attention is requested to the proposed amended clause (g) We come about to the [illegible]

Also [illegible] on [illegible] at 31 & 32 / X I am informed to think that he was [illegible] by the Ry ... the [illegible] [illegible] are technically correct. [illegible] [illegible] is only a portion of the trouble There is also a [illegible] on the subject on which the report will be fully [illegible] before the answer may [illegible]

Cir 3-10-24
RH 5-10-24

I have made a few verbal alterations regard to answers to clauses (d) & (g). Now that the proposed resolution has been disallowed, I hope nothing further will be heard about this incident which appears to have proved needless heat

Legislative Council - October '21

Secott. II-10 (a)—35,105—9-2-20.
Received in the fair-copying sec
Copied by
Examined b
Signed
Despatched
READ AGA

EPARTMENT

Acquisition of bungalow for the Divisional Officer, Puttur. — Records

840 Q.—Rao Sahib U. RAMA RAO: To ask the Hon'ble the Member for Revenue—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that the Government has made a declaration in the local official gazette that a bungalow with a large compound in the enjoyment of a leading vakil of Puttur, South Kanara, is to be acquired under the Land Acquisition Act for quarters for the Revenue Divisional Officer;

(*b*) whether the Government are aware of the proceedings of a public meeting at Puttur, South Kanara, recording its disapproval of the policy of the Government in depriving a leading vakil of his residence by resorting to compulsory acquisition in order to give that house to the Revenue Divisional Officer;

(*c*) whether it is a fact that the public of Puttur, South Kanara, have challenged an open enquiry in the matter of selection of suitable sites or houses for the present purpose, and are also prepared to point out other sites or houses;

(*d*) whether the Government have taken any, and, if so, what steps to allay the excitement and resentment of the public of Puttur as indicated in the proceedings of the public meeting; ...192

(*e*) to place on the table the estimate of the claimants, if any, put in after the Government notification in the gazette;

(*f*) whether the Government will be required to spend any money in pairing the building in question or making improvements to it in addition to ayment of acquisition money; and ...192

(*g*) whether the Executive Engineer has inspected the building in question id condemned the same. ...192

A.—(*a*), (*b*), (*c*) & (*f*) Yes.

(*d*) The Government are not convinced that this is necessary.

(*e*) The Government have no information as to the estimate referred to.

(*g*) The Executive Engineer who inspected the building did not condemn it. ...192

1-G.No. Mis.

2633 dated 1-12-21. Recorded